

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 17, 2002 LB 1105

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'd yield.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Beutler, the language that you're focusing on that talks about the prima facie burden and so forth is a recodification of the existing law. It's existing law.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I think I argued about it in the 1980s too, but go ahead.

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah. Okay. And so we didn't change that and didn't focus on that because we were attempting to recodify the statutes and not make a lot of policy with it. So you may be right in your distinction, but we just did not change policy in this recodification...tried not to.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Section 434 on page 3, is that a recodification or is that new law?

SENATOR BROMM: It's recodification.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But that recodification didn't have the...the provision with regard to prima facie evidence, huh?

SENATOR BROMM: That's correct. It was...you know, and these...

SENATOR BEUTLER: If I were going to make the law consistent, Senator, which way do you think I should make it consistent?

SENATOR BROMM: Well, you know, you would never notice the inconsistency if we weren't reorganizing them into the same spot and that's why this is a good thing to do, because when you do get them in the same area of the law then...then something like that does become apparent to you and perhaps it should be changed one way or the other and I, you know, and it may be that we should do a bill to do that. But we tried not to burden this with policy changes, I guess.

SENATOR BEUTLER: What about if we just struck subsection (2) on page 5 to make it contemporary until some...to make it consistent until somebody wants to take the time to pass a bill